

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/677,421	10/05/2000	Mitsuaki Oshima	2000-1388	6142
Wenderoth Line	7590 09/12/200 d & Ponack	EXAMINER		
2033 K Street Suite 800		HA, DAC V		
Washington, Do	C 20006	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/677,42	21	OSHIMA ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Dac V. Ha		2611		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR DEPENDENCE IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS IN THE MAILIN	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. by period will apply and we by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	This action is nallowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b>	Claim(s) 18-23 and 36-47 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) 18-23 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 36-47 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction  on Papers The specification is objected to by the Ex	and/or election re	nsideration.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notic 3)  Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 09/677,421 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is in response to the amendment filed 02/09/06.

### Information Disclosure Statement

2. Applicant is informed references 2005/0058633 and 2005/0922922 have been crossed out from PTO 1449 filed 02/09/06 because they are not relevant to the present application.

# **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 36-47 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13, 14 of copending Application No. 11/905,978. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed subject matter in claims 36-47 are encompassed by that of claims 13,14 of Application No. 11/905,978.

Art Unit: 2611

That is, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have understood that the value of "n" is needed for correctly demodulating the received signal. And despite slight different in wordings, in both the current application and Application No. 11/905,978, the value "n" included in the "first data stream" is provided for demodulation.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

5. Claims 36-47 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 43, 45 of copending Application No. 11/980,510. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because in both the current application and Application No. 11/980,510, the value "n" included in the "first data stream" is being transmitted (to the receiving side) and that that value "n" is needed for correctly demodulating the signal.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 18-23, 36-47 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dac V. Ha whose telephone number is 571-272-3040. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Payne can be reached on 571-272-3024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Application/Control Number: 09/677,421 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dac V. Ha/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611